

Photodissociation Reaction of 1,2-Diiodoethane in Solution: A Theoretical and X-ray Diffraction Study

Qingyu Kong,^{*,†} Joonghan Kim,[‡] Maciej Lorenc,[†] Tae Kyu Kim,[‡] Hyotcherl Ihee,^{*,‡} and Michael Wulff[†]

European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble Cedex 38043, BP 220, France, and Department of Chemistry and School of Molecular Science (BK21), Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Daejeon 305-701, Republic of Korea

Received: June 22, 2005; In Final Form: August 16, 2005

Various molecular species are known to form during the photoreaction of C₂H₄I₂ in the gas phase and in solution. We have studied all species involved in this reaction by ab initio and density functional theory (DFT) calculations: Geometries, energies, and vibrational frequencies of C₂H₄I₂, bridged C₂H₄I•, anti C₂H₄I•, C₂H₄, I₂, I₃⁻, and the isomer C₂H₄I–I were calculated. The absorption peaks and oscillator strengths of selected species along the potential energy surface (PES) were calculated using time-dependent DFT and were compared with available experimental results. The calculated PES satisfactorily describes the observed reactions of the photoexcited C₂H₄I₂ molecule. In the gas phase, there is only one reaction pathway: the first C–I bond ruptures followed by a secondary C–I breakage in the haloethyl radical C₂H₄I•. In solution, by contrast, another reaction channel, which is energetically more favored over the secondary dissociation, is switched on due to a solvation effect: the bridged C₂H₄I• can bind to the free iodine atom to form a C₂H₄I–I isomer without any energy barrier. The isomer can then break into C₂H₄ and I₂. The rotational barriers in the gas phase and in solution were also calculated and compared. To provide experimental data on the structure of C₂H₄I₂ in solution, the ground state structure of C₂H₄I₂ in methanol was determined from static X-ray diffraction data using 88 keV ($\lambda = 0.14 \text{ \AA}$) X-rays. The structural parameters are compared with those from the theoretical results.

1. Introduction

The structure of small molecules (i.e., the time and space averaged positions of all atoms) in the gas phase can be readily studied by electron diffraction. Similar information can be obtained in a straightforward manner for the solid state if crystals are available. In contrast, the structures in disordered phases such as solutions are difficult to study and at this stage no routine methods are available to determine averaged local structures in solution. Here, we used static diffraction of 88 keV X-rays to determine the structure of C₂H₄I₂ when dissolved in methanol.

1,2-Disubstituted ethane C₂H₄X₂ molecules and haloethyl radicals C₂H₄X• (X = F, Cl, Br, and I) have been thoroughly investigated in stereochemical,^{1–7} thermochemical, and photochemical studies.^{8–18} Since interesting aspects of the stereochemistry are observed in 1,2-dihalocompounds, many fundamental questions in conformational analysis were addressed by the study of 1,2-disubstituted ethanes,¹⁹ which are “structural prototypes”, the simplest structures that incorporate functional groups commonly found in larger systems.

The iodoethyl compounds have been extensively studied by time-resolved electron and X-ray diffraction experiments due to the high Z-number of iodine.^{20–22} However, there are few theoretical studies on iodine containing molecules,^{23–25} as they present higher computational complexity. We have recently investigated the photodissociation process of C₂H₄I₂ in methanol

by time-resolved X-ray diffraction and confirmed the existence of the bridged C₂H₄I• radical.²⁶ Here, we present quantum chemical calculations for photoinduced reactions and putative photoproducts of dissociated C₂H₄I₂, to get a more detailed picture of the dissociation mechanism in solution. Static X-ray diffraction measurements of the ground state structure of C₂H₄I₂ in methanol are also shown and compared with the calculation.

2. Experimental and Computational Methods

2.1. Static X-ray Diffraction. The basic formulas used to determine the ground state molecular structure from the scattered X-ray signal are summarized in this section.^{27,28} The scattered intensity is generally expressed as a function of $q = (4\pi/\lambda) \sin(2\theta/2)$, where λ is the X-ray wavelength and 2θ is the scattering angle.

The total diffraction signal from a liquid is generally described in terms of partial structure factors which are related to the Fourier transform of the statistical correlation between scattering sites, $g(r)$.²⁹ In the present study, with very hard (88 keV) X-rays, the effective $g(r)$ is dominated by the contributions from heavy atoms and is related to the scattering signal:

$$S(q) = f_e^2(q) + f_c^2(q) \int 4\pi r^2 \rho(g(r) - 1) \frac{\sin(qr)}{qr} dr \quad (1)$$

where ρ is the atomic number density for the material under study and f_e is an average scattering factor per electron:

$$f_e = \left(\sum_{uc} f_m \right) / \sum_{uc} Z_m \quad (2)$$

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: kong@esrf.fr (Q.K.); hyotcherl.ihee@kaist.ac.kr (H.I.).

[†] European Synchrotron Radiation Facility.

[‡] Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST).

Here, f_m is the elastic scattering factor for atom m , uc is the unit of composition or unit cell, and Z is the number of electrons of atom m . The back transform yields

$$g(r) = 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \rho r} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{q(S(q) - f_e^2(q))}{f_e^2(q)} \sin(qr) e^{-(\alpha q)^2} dq \quad (3)$$

$S(q) - f_e^2(q)$ represents the deviation from atomic scattering due to interference, and the integrals range from zero to infinity. In practice, the termination effects due to the finite q -range of the experiment are attenuated by using an exponential damping factor, α . ρ is the atomic number density. Here, $g(r)$ is a measure of the electron density seen from the center of an average atom. Strictly speaking, eq 1 is valid for systems containing only one type of atom. For a system containing more than one kind of atom, this equation is an approximation. Therefore, eq 3 is also an approximation, weighted toward heavy atom contribution in proportion to Z^2 .

The total diffraction signal, $S(q)$, from $C_2H_4I_2$ in methanol can be expressed as the sum of different contributions from solute, solvent, and solute–solvent interaction:³⁰

$$S(q)_{\text{solution}} = S(q)_{\text{solute}} + S(q)_{\text{solvent}} + S(q)_{\text{solute-solvent}} \quad (4)$$

Because both the elastic and inelastic signals are detected in the static X-ray diffraction experiments, the latter was also included in the calculation.³¹

To extract the ground state structure of $C_2H_4I_2$, the experimental data were processed in the following way: (a) First, the pure solvent scattering, $S(q)_{\text{solvent}}$, was subtracted from the total scattering of the solution, $S(q)_{\text{solution}}$, and the difference signal, $S(q)_{\text{solute}} + S(q)_{\text{solute-solvent}}$, was then scaled to the average gas scattering factor of $C_2H_4I_2$, f_e^2 , and corrected by the calculated Compton scattering of the solute. (b) Second, f_e^2 of $C_2H_4I_2$ was subtracted from the experimental difference data, $S(q)_{\text{solute}} + S(q)_{\text{solute-solvent}}$. The remaining data contain two terms: $S(q)_{\text{solute-solvent}}$, the cage structure, and the interference terms from atom–atom pairs, $\sin(qr_{ij})/qr_{ij}$ ($i \neq j$), molecular structure of the solute. (c) To bring out the oscillations at high q , the remaining signal, designated as $S(q)_{\text{structure}} = S(q)_{\text{solute}} + S(q)_{\text{solute-solvent}} - f_e^2(q)$, was multiplied by q and divided by the sharpening function, $\sum_i f_i^2(q)$. Although all structural information is contained in the molecular scattering function, $S(q)_{\text{structure}}$, the radial distribution function, $g(r)_{\text{structure}}$, is more intuitive for qualitative interpretation, as it directly reflects the relative density of internuclear distance in the molecule. When the sharpening function is taken into account, the final $g(r)_{\text{structure}}$ function takes the following form:

$$g(r)_{\text{structure}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \rho r} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{q S(q)_{\text{structure}}}{\sum_i f_i^2(q)} \sin(qr) e^{-(\alpha q)^2} dq \quad (5)$$

2.2. Ab Initio and Density Functional Theory (DFT) Computations. Calculations were carried out by ab initio and DFT methods using the Gaussian 03 code.³² Geometries were fully optimized, vibrational frequencies were calculated with analytical second-order derivatives, and zero-point vibrational energies were derived. The number of imaginary frequencies was used to characterize the nature of the structure. For the transition state, intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations were performed to follow the reaction path. The structural parameters were also optimized with the coupled cluster CCSD(T) method³³ for comparison.

TABLE 1: Calculated Bond Lengths of I_2 in the Gas Phase with Different Basis Sets at the HF and B3LYP Levels

| basis set | I_2 bond length (Å) | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | B3LYP | HF |
| 3-21G** | 2.719 | 2.696 |
| 6-31+G | 2.732 | 2.700 |
| 6-311G | 2.859 | 2.810 |
| 6-311G(d) | 2.737 | 2.703 |
| 6-311+G(d) | 2.707 | 2.676 |
| Lan12dz | 2.863 | 2.817 |
| experiment ⁴¹ | 2.67 ± 0.004 | |

The Becke three-parameter hybrid functional with the Lee–Yang–Parr correlation corrections (B3LYP) was used in the DFT calculation.^{34,35} The all-electron basis set 6-311++G(d,p) for C and H atoms³⁶ and the all-electron basis sets 6-311G(d) with added d and f polarization functions and s and p diffuse functions for the I atom,^{37,38} which are referred to as 6-311+G(d), were used. For the geometry of the anti $C_2H_4I_2$ radical, the Hartree–Fock (HF) and MP2 results were used, since the anti form converged to the bridged structure in DFT and CCSD(T) calculations. For all other structures, the DFT results are presented.

The solvent effects were calculated using self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) theory. The Self-Consistent Isodensity Polarized Continuum Model (SCIPCM),³⁹ which allows geometry optimization at the HF and DFT levels, was used to perform the solution calculation using an isodensity value of 0.0004 e/au³ for both methanol and cyclohexane solutions.

Time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT)⁴⁰ was used to calculate the vertical excitation energies, oscillator strengths, and excited potential energy surfaces. The TD-B3LYP method with the same basis sets for C, H, and I atoms as described above was used in these calculations. To obtain the excited potential energy curves, the single-point energies were calculated at each point of the ground state surface. In the calculation, the C_s symmetry rather than the C_{2h} symmetry of optimized ground state geometry was preserved.

To choose an appropriate basis set for the iodine atom, the bond length of the I_2 molecule was calculated with different basis sets and compared to the experimental gas phase value 2.67 ± 0.004 Å.⁴¹ The calculated results at HF and B3LYP levels are shown in Table 1, and the all-electron 6-311+G(d) basis set was finally chosen for iodine.

3. Results and Discussion

In section 3.1, the static X-ray diffraction results of the ground state structure of 1,2-diiodoethane in methanol are presented. In section 3.2, we will discuss the rotational potential energy surface of 1,2-diiodoethane around the C–C axis in solution and the gas phase, and in section 3.3, we will present various dissociation channels for photoinduced chemical reactions.

3.1. Static X-ray Diffraction. The diffraction experiments were carried out on the high-energy beamline ID15 with 88 keV (0.141 Å) X-rays. The higher energy extended the q -range up to 23 Å⁻¹, which correspondingly improves the spatial resolution to ~0.27 Å. The 60 mM $C_2H_4I_2/CH_3OH$ solution, which translates to a solute–solvent ratio of 1:412, was used in the X-ray diffraction experiments. The samples were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. The $C_2H_4I_2/CH_3OH$ solution was added into a 3 mm thick capillary, and the scattering signal was integrated on a 2300 × 2300 pixel image plate with a pixel size of 150 μm.

Figure 1a shows the total scattering intensity from the $C_2H_4I_2/CH_3OH$ solution, $S(q)_{\text{solution}}$, and Figure 1b shows the scattering




Figure 1. Static X-ray diffraction of C₂H₄I₂ in methanol: (a) the total scattered intensity from C₂H₄I₂ in methanol, $S(q)_{\text{solution}}$; (b) scattered intensity from pure methanol, $S(q)_{\text{solvent}}$; (c) scaling of the difference between C₂H₄I₂ in methanol and pure methanol, $S(q)_{\text{solute}} + S(q)_{\text{solute-solvent}}$, to the average gas scattering factor of C₂H₄I₂, f_e^2 .

intensity from pure methanol, $S(q)_{\text{solvent}}$. After subtracting the pure solvent scattering from the total scattering of the solution, the difference signal, $S(q)_{\text{solute}} + S(q)_{\text{solute-solvent}}$, was scaled to the average gas scattering factor of C₂H₄I₂, f_e^2 , as shown in Figure 1c. Figure 2 shows the structure of C₂H₄I₂ in q - and real spaces. Figure 2a was obtained by subtracting f_e^2 of C₂H₄I₂ from $S(q)_{\text{solute}} + S(q)_{\text{solute-solvent}}$ and multiplying it by $q/\sum f_i^2(q)$. Fourier sine transform of the curve in Figure 2a, according to eq 5, leads to the radial distribution curve in Figure 2b, which represents the molecular structure of the solute, C₂H₄I₂.

The interatomic distances in Figure 2b are assigned as follows: the first peak around 1 Å is an artifact caused by the absence of experimental data close to $q = 0$, the inevitable consequence of using a beamstop; the peak at 2.2 Å is the




Figure 2. Structure of C₂H₄I₂ in methanol: (a) $qS(q)_{\text{structure}}/\sum f_i^2(q)$, where $S(q)_{\text{structure}}$ is obtained after subtraction of f_e^2 for C₂H₄I₂ from $S(q)_{\text{solute}} + S(q)_{\text{solute-solvent}}$; (b) $g(r)_{\text{structure}}$.

covalent C–I bond; the peak at 3.1 Å is the (nonbonded) C···I distance; the peak at 5.1 Å is the (nonbonded) I···I distance. The broad peak around 4.0 Å is tentatively assigned to the first solvation shell.

3.2. Rotational Potential Energy Surfaces. To observe the effect of solvation of the C₂H₄I₂ molecule on the rotational potential energy surface, we calculated the potential energy as a function of the torsion angle around the C–C axis in the gas phase as well as in solution. The calculation was performed at the B3LYP level, the geometries of the minima and the transition structures were fully optimized, and the vibrational frequencies were calculated. Figure 3 shows the rotational energy curves in the gas phase, in cyclohexane, and in methanol using the SCIPCM model. The total and relative energies at the minima and the transition states are given in Supporting Information Table 1S. The optimized structures in the gas phase and in methanol are presented in Figure 4, and the detailed geometrical parameters of the optimized structures as well as the calculated vibrational frequencies in the gas phase and in methanol are listed in Supporting Information Tables 2S and 3S.

The solvent effect on C₂H₄I₂ along the rotational potential energy surface (PES) is clearly illustrated in Figure 3: the solute–solvent interaction stabilizes the solute. In the SCRf theory, the solvent is considered as a continuum reaction field with uniform dielectric constant ϵ and the solute is placed in a cavity in the solvent. The interaction between the solute and solvent depends on the properties of both the solvent, represented by the dielectric constant, and the solute represented by dipole and multipole moments. Thus, methanol with a large dielectric constant of 32.63 is expected to have a more stabilizing effect on the solute molecule than cyclohexane with a smaller




Figure 3. Rotational potential energy curves for the $C_2H_4I_2$ molecule around the dihedral angle ICCI, in the gas phase, in cyclohexane, and in methanol, calculated at the B3LYP level. The all-electron 6-311++G-(d,p) basis set for the C and H atoms and the 6-311+G(d) basis set for iodine were used. The SCIPCM model was used for calculations in the solution phase.




Figure 4. Geometries of $C_2H_4I_2$: (a) anti form; (b) gauche form; (c) transition state 1 (TS1 anti \rightarrow gauche); (d) transition state 2 (TS2 gauche \rightarrow gauche) calculated at the B3LYP level (distances in angstroms and angles in degrees). ICCI indicates the dihedral angle. The values in parentheses show the structural parameters in methanol. Detailed structural parameters are shown in Supporting Information Table 2S.

dielectric constant of 2.023. The difference between methanol and cyclohexane is demonstrated in Figure 3. For the anti form, the energy difference between the gas phase and methanol is 2.372 kcal/mol, while, for cyclohexane, it is only 0.935 kcal/mol (Supporting Information Table 1S). According to the reaction field theory, the solvent effect in cyclohexane, compared to the gas phase, will be about 40% of that in a high-dielectric-constant solvent, and our results confirm this.⁴²

We noticed that the relative energy between the anti and gauche forms decreases from 3.232 kcal/mol in the gas phase to 2.617 kcal/mol in methanol. The smaller energy difference in solution means that the solvent interacts more strongly with the gauche form than with the anti form. This is because the interaction between the solute and the solvent depends not only on the polarizability of the solvent but also on the dipole and multipole moments of the solute. The anti form of diiodoethane




Figure 5. Potential energy levels of the photodissociation of $C_2H_4I_2$ in methanol, plotted with energies calculated at the B3LYP level, 6-311++G(d,p) basis set for C and H, and the 6-311+G(d) basis set for I. $C_2H_4I_a$ and $C_2H_4I_b$ stand for the anti and bridged C_2H_4I , respectively. The SCIPCM model is used to include the solvent effect. All energies were subjected to ZPE correction. Dotted lines show the corresponding energy levels in the gas phase.

has C_2h symmetry with a dipole moment of zero, whereas the gauche form has C_2 symmetry and a dipole moment of 2.47 D. Therefore, the gauche form of $C_2H_4I_2$ will be more stabilized than its anti form, resulting in decreased relative energy in methanol. The solvent effects are also reflected in the changes of the structural parameters shown in Supporting Information Table 2S, as well as in the changes of the vibrational frequencies (Supporting Information Table 3S).

Our time-resolved²⁶ and static X-ray scattering results for $C_2H_4I_2$ in methanol show that the gauche form is almost absent within experimental error. This observation is in agreement with the calculated energy difference of 2.617 kcal/mol between the anti and gauche forms in methanol, since, at this energy difference, the gauche population is less than 1% at 300 K. The measured nonbonded $I \cdots I$ distance of 5.1 Å, as shown in Figure 2b, is in good agreement with the calculated value of 5.105 Å for the anti conformer, and not with 4.034 Å for the gauche conformer.

3.3. Dissociation Potential Energy Surfaces. Bridged and Anti Conformers of C_2H_4I . The overall potential energy levels of various candidate species along the photoreaction pathways of $C_2H_4I_2$ are shown in Figure 5. Starting from the hot $C_2H_4I_2^*$ molecule, the first step in dissociation is to form $C_2H_4I \bullet + I \bullet$. The bridged and anti conformers of the haloethyl radical $C_2H_4I \bullet$ were first calculated using the HF, MP2, B3LYP, and CCSD(T) methods in the gas phase, and based on these results, the calculations were extended to solution at the HF and B3LYP levels with the SCIPCM model. The structures of the anti and bridged forms of $C_2H_4I \bullet$ are shown in Figure 6. The selected optimized geometrical parameters are presented in Table 2, and the relative energies are listed in Table 3. The detailed structural parameters and the XYZ coordinates of the $C_2H_4I \bullet$ radical with bridged and anti conformers are shown in Supporting Information Table 4S. The calculated vibrational frequencies for the gas phase and for methanol are shown in Supporting Information Table 5S.

At the B3LYP and CCSD(T) levels, the anti form converged to the bridged form. The calculated C–I bond length in the bridged form is 3.107 Å in methanol at the B3LYP level, in good agreement with the experimental value 3.06 ± 0.02 Å obtained from time-resolved X-ray diffraction.²⁶ The calculation at the Restricted Open-Shell Hartree–Fock (ROHF) level gave a C–I bond length of 4.144 Å, indicating that the ROHF method




Figure 6. Geometries of C₂H₄I•_b (²A₁), C₂H₄I•_a (²A'), C₂H₄I-I (¹A₁) isomer, and I₃⁻ (¹Σ_g⁻). Selected geometric parameters in the gas phase, in methanol, and in cyclohexane at the B3LYP level are shown sequentially; bond lengths are in angstroms, and angles are in degrees. Geometric parameters of the anti form of C₂H₄I• are calculated at the ROHF level. ICCI indicates the dihedral angle. The detailed structural parameters and XYZ coordinates are shown in Supporting Information Table 4S.

does not describe the bridged C₂H₄I• radical properly. In the gas phase, the C-I bond length in the bridged C₂H₄I•, optimized at the ROHF, MP2, B3LYP, and CCSD(T) levels, is 4.138, 3.129, 3.149, and 3.285 Å, respectively. The MP2 and B3LYP methods produce reasonable results, while the CCSD(T) method slightly overestimates the C-I bond length. The effect of solvation on the molecular geometry is shown in Table 2: the change in the C-I bond length of bridged C₂H₄I• in going from the gas phase to methanol and cyclohexane is 0.042 and 0.018 Å, respectively, at the B3LYP level, which shows again that the effect of cyclohexane is ~40% of that of methanol.⁴²

While the anti form of C₂H₄I• converged to the bridged form at the B3LYP level, the optimization in solution can only be performed at the HF and B3LYP levels. Thus, the relative energy between the bridged and anti forms in optimized geometries in solution is only available at the HF level, as shown in Table 3. The relative energy of 7.985 kcal/mol in the gas phase and 7.391 kcal/mol in methanol at the HF level may overestimate the real energy difference. As discussed above, the HF method cannot produce geometrical parameters for the bridged form appropriately. Single-point energies in the gas phase and in solution at the CCSD(T) level are calculated on the basis of the gas phase geometries of the bridged and anti forms obtained with the MP2 method. The optimized relative energy at the MP2 level and the single-point relative energies at the CCSD(T) level are shown in Table 3. The relative energy between the bridged and anti forms of the C₂H₄I• radical is about 3 kcal/mol. This energy difference is of the same order as the energy difference between the anti and gauche conformers of the parent molecule C₂H₄I₂ (Supporting Information Table 1S).

Final Products. Table 4 shows the relative energies of the reaction intermediates and products as compared to the parent

molecule C₂H₄I₂ in the ground state. The bridged haloethyl radical C₂H₄I• + I•, generated from the decay of the excited parent molecule C₂H₄I₂^{*}, is initially excited with an excess energy of 45.906 kcal/mol in methanol. Since dissociation of the remaining β-carbon-halogen bond to form C₂H₄ + I• requires an energy input of only 4.391 kcal/mol in the gas phase and 5.212 kcal/mol in methanol, further dissociation is possible. Dissociation of C₂H₄I• by secondary C-I bond breakage might be less efficient in solution than in the gas phase due to vibrational cooling. However, vibrational energy transfer to the solvent may not completely suppress secondary dissociation, because the distribution of internal vibrational energy in the haloethyl radicals is fairly broad.^{43,44} The I₂ molecule lies 43.193 kcal/mol lower in energy than two free iodine atoms in methanol. If the secondary dissociation reaction occurs, C₂H₄ + I₂ can be formed directly without energy barrier, as shown in Figure 5. The secondary dissociation is the most important channel in the photochemical reactions of C₂F₄I₂ in the gas phase,²¹ and the photodissociation studies on C₂F₄I₂ and C₂H₄I₂ in solution also suggested the secondary dissociation mechanism.⁴⁵

The solvation cage may contain the liberated iodine atom within the interaction distance of the remaining iodine on C₂H₄I• and lead to the formation of a new species. As shown in Figure 5, the interaction of the free iodine atom with the haloethyl radical can form a C₂H₄I-I isomer. The scan along the I-I distance of the isomer shows that there is no energy barrier for the transition from the bridged C₂H₄I• + I to the C₂H₄I-I isomer. The calculated results are shown in Supporting Information Figure 2S.

The C₂H₄I-I isomer has a significant interaction between the C₂H₄ moiety and the I₂ group and is lower in energy than C₂H₄ + I₂ by 1.480, 1.119, and 1.411 kcal/mol in the gas phase, methanol, and cyclohexane, respectively. The geometry of the C₂H₄I-I isomer is shown in Figure 6. The isomer has C_{2v} symmetry, with an I-I bond length of 2.747 Å in methanol (2.733 Å in the gas phase and 2.737 Å in cyclohexane). Compared with the C-I bond length 3.107 Å of the C₂H₄I• radical, the C-I bond length of the C₂H₄I-I isomer is elongated to 3.273 Å in methanol, which indicates a weaker interaction than that in the bridged C₂H₄I•. Due to the long distance between the iodine and carbon atoms, the C₂H₄I-I isomer might be considered as an I₂-C₂H₄ van der Waals (vdW) complex. The LUMO and part of the valence molecular orbital depictions of the isomer are shown in Supporting Information Figure 1S. The HOMO-5 shows molecular orbital overlap between the I₂ and C₂H₄ groups. Following the molecular orbital definition,⁴⁶ the "overlap" implies covalent character rather than weak vdW interaction. In this context, the isomer is not a "true" vdW complex such as the He dimer, in which there is no MO with bonding character between two He atoms.

The interatomic distances for ordinary vdW interaction can be estimated by the formula $R = 2\sqrt{R_A R_B} - \Delta R$, where R is the van der Waals (vdW) radius and ΔR is the correction term ($\Delta R = R - R_{\min}$ or $\Delta R = R - R_{\max}$) of which the value usually does not exceed 0.15 Å.⁴⁷ With $R_C = 1.7$ Å,⁴⁸ $R_I = 2.13$ Å⁴⁹ and $\Delta R = 0.15$ Å, the lower limit of the vdW distance between carbon and iodine atoms is calculated to be 3.66 Å, which is 0.39 Å longer than the C-I distance of the C₂H₄I-I isomer. The isomer may still be considered as a vdW complex in a broad sense, when individual identities of the I₂ and C₂H₄ moieties of the isomer are emphasized.

In comparison with the secondary dissociation leading to C₂H₄ + 2I, which is a unique reaction channel in the gas phase, the

TABLE 2: Selected Structural Parameters^a of the Bridged and Anti Conformers of C₂H₄I• in the Gas Phase and in Solution

| | | gas phase | | | | in methanol | | in cyclohexane | |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|-------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | | ROHF | MP2 | B3LYP | CCSD(T) | ROHF | B3LYP | ROHF | B3LYP |
| C ₂ H ₄ I• _b | C–C | 1.319 | 1.349 | 1.343 | 1.351 | 1.320 | 1.348 | 1.319 | 1.345 |
| | C–I | 4.138 | 3.129 | 3.149 | 3.285 | 4.144 | 3.107 | 4.136 | 3.131 |
| | I–C–C | 80.8 | 77.6 | 77.7 | 78.1 | 80.8 | 77.5 | 80.8 | 77.6 |
| C ₂ H ₄ I• _a | C–C | 1.473 | 1.463 | | | 1.471 | | 1.473 | |
| | C–I | 2.205 | 2.195 | | | 2.218 | | 2.209 | |
| | I–C–C | 111.7 | 110.2 | | | 111.4 | | 111.7 | |

^a Bond lengths in angstroms and angles in degrees; C₂H₄I•_b denotes the bridged form, C₂H₄I•_a denotes the anti form; the anti form converges to the bridged form at the B3LYP and CCSD(T) levels.

TABLE 3: Relative Energies (kcal/mol) of the Bridged and Anti Conformers of C₂H₄I• in the Gas Phase and in Solution^a

| | HF | MP2 | MP3//MP2 | MP4SDQ//MP2 | CCSD//MP2 | CCSD(T)//MP2 |
|---|----------------|------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| C ₂ H ₄ I• _b | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C ₂ H ₄ I• _a | 7.99 (7.39) | 3.04 | 3.94 (3.77) | 3.71 (3.52) | 3.46 (3.26) | 3.55 (3.41) |

^a The values in parentheses are the relative energies in methanol solution.


TABLE 4: Relative Energies (kcal/mol) of the Reaction Intermediates and Products in the Gas Phase and in Solution at the B3LYP Level^a

| species | gas phase | in methanol | in cyclohexane |
|--|-----------|-------------|----------------|
| C ₂ H ₄ I ₂ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C ₂ H ₄ I• _b + I• | 46.66 | 45.91 | 46.34 |
| C ₂ H ₄ + 2I• | 51.05 | 51.12 | 51.08 |
| C ₂ H ₄ I–I | 5.75 | 6.81 | 6.10 |
| C ₂ H ₄ + I ₂ | 7.23 | 7.93 | 7.51 |



^a All values are corrected for the zero-point energies.

abstraction reaction becomes an important channel in methanol. The change of reaction pathways in going from the gas phase to the solution phase has been established by both experiment and theory previously.^{50,51} According to the PES shown in Figure 5 as well as previous experimental results, the following reaction mechanisms are plausible:

In the gas phase



In solution



where C₂H₄I•_b stands for the bridged C₂H₄I•. In a highly polar solvent like methanol, the strong interaction between the solute and the solvent can suppress secondary dissociation of the haloethyl radical through vibrational cooling. At the same time, the solvation cage built around the solute molecules increases the interaction between the liberated iodine atom and the haloethyl radical. This solvation effect thus promotes the interaction between C₂H₄I• and I to subsequently form the C₂H₄I–I isomer. Therefore, the abstraction becomes an important reaction channel for C₂H₄I₂ in solution. Energetically, the abstraction reaction is more favored than the secondary dissociation. The direct formation of C₂H₄ + I₂ from C₂H₄I + I through an abstraction pathway cannot be excluded. However,

since the C₂H₄I–I isomer is 1.119 kcal/mol lower in energy than C₂H₄ + I₂ in methanol, the formation of the C₂H₄I–I isomer is more probable.

At this stage, a full description of the photoinduced reaction of C₂H₄I₂, supported by both experiments and our calculations, can be proposed. Ihee et al. showed that the excited C₂F₄I₂* molecule decays in a two-step process in the gas phase.²¹ An ultrafast C–I bond breakage is followed by a secondary C–I cleavage in the resulting C₂F₄I• radical. Lee et al. and Lou et al. also suggested the occurrence of secondary dissociation in haloethyl radicals.^{52–54} We note that according to our calculations the isomer formation is energetically more favored than the secondary dissociation even in the gas phase, as shown in Figure 5. However, in a typical gas phase experiment, it is unlikely that the free iodine will encounter the C₂H₄I radical and have a chance to form the isomer. Åkesson et al. suggested in their study of C₂H₄I₂ in acetonitrile solutions that both secondary dissociation and abstraction channels are possible and that the process might be dominated by secondary dissociation in the C₂H₄I• radical.⁴⁵ In contrast, our recent time-resolved X-ray diffraction study of C₂H₄I₂ in methanol²⁶ revealed that the formation of C₂H₄ + 2I is negligible within the experimental time resolution of 100 ps. Instead, the C₂H₄I–I isomer appears at ~100 ps, peaks around ~10 ns, and persists until μs. The results from time-resolved X-ray diffraction are consistent with the conclusion drawn from calculations, that the abstraction is energetically more favored than the secondary dissociation. The measured I–I distance 2.75 Å is also in good agreement with the calculated value 2.747 Å at the B3LYP level. The C₂H₄I–I isomer eventually dissociates into C₂H₄ + I₂, which is 1.119 kcal/mol uphill on the energy surface. Therefore, this final step is entropy driven.²⁶ The scan calculation along the C–I coordinate of the C₂H₄I–I isomer in Supporting Information Figure 3S shows that no transition state exists between the isomer and C₂H₄ + I₂.

The I₃[−] ion, with an absorption maximum at 362 nm, was formed on longer time scales in previous studies of the solution C₂H₄I₂/CH₃CN.⁴⁵ This species is also observed in our study of C₂H₄I₂/CH₃OH,²⁶ on a time scale of hours. As shown in Figure 6, I₃[−] optimized at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level has a linear structure with an I–I bond length of 3.011 Å in the gas phase (2.999 Å in methanol and 3.003 Å in cyclohexane).

The Ground and Excited State Potential Energy Surfaces (PESs) of C₂H₄I₂ and Vertical Excitation Energy. TD-DFT has proven to be a reliable method for computing transition energies and oscillator strengths.^{55,56} The vertical excitation energies and oscillator strengths of the parent molecules C₂H₄I₂_{anti}, intermediate C₂H₄I•_{bridged}, and the C₂H₄I–I isomer in the gas phase and in solution were calculated with the TD-B3LYP method, and the results are shown in Table 5 and Supporting Information Table 6S.

The calculated absorption peak of C₂H₄I₂ in methanol is at 269 nm, blue shifted by 2 nm as compared to that in the gas

TABLE 5: Calculated Absorption (nm) and Oscillator Strengths (in Parentheses) of C₂H₄I₂ at Different Excited States in the Gas Phase and in Solution at the TD-B3LYP Level

| excited states | C ₂ H ₄ I ₂ (anti) | | |
|----------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| | gas phase | in methanol | in cyclohexane |
| 1 | 320 (0) | 316 (0) | 318 (0) |
| 2 | 315 (0) | 311 (0) | 314 (0) |
| 3 | 312 (0) | 309 (0) | 311 (0) |
| 4 | 309 (0) | 306 (0) | 308 (0) |
| 5 | 278 (0) | 276 (0) | 277 (0) |
| 6 | 271 (0.0005) | 270 (0.0002) | 271 (0.0003) |
| 7 | 271 (0.0355) | 269 (0.0387) | 270 (0.0369) |
| 8 | 270 (0) | 268 (0) | 269 (0) |
| 9 | 248 (0) | 248 (0) | 248 (0) |
| 10 | 243 (0) | 239 (0) | 242 (0) |
| 11 | 242 (0) | 237 (0) | 240 (0) |
| 12 | 238 (0.0127) | 234 (0) | 236 (0.0127) |
| 13 | 238 (0) | 233 (0.0126) | 236 (0) |
| 14 | 235 (0) | 230 (0) | 233 (0) |
| 15 | 233 (0) | 229 (0) | 231 (0) |

phase. In comparison with the experimental absorption peak at 261 nm, an empirical wavelength scaling of 0.97 is needed between theory and experiment. The maximum of I₂ absorption in the visible range calculated at the TD-B3LYP level is 553 nm in the gas phase, identical to the experimental value.⁵⁷ No experimental transient absorption spectra for the bridged C₂H₄I• radical and the C₂H₄I–I isomer are available for comparison, but the calculated absorptions and oscillator strengths of the bridged C₂H₄I• radical and the C₂H₄I–I isomer are shown in Supporting Information Table 6S.

The excited PESs of C₂H₄I₂ along the *r*(C–I) bond-stretching coordinate were calculated using the TD-B3LYP method with the same basis sets as described above. Figure 7 shows the various excited states of the PES. Under 267 nm laser excitation (4.65 eV), the C₂H₄I₂ molecule is promoted to the seventh excited state (¹B_u state). The excited molecule will at first instance preserve its ground state structure and then relax on




Figure 7. Ground and excited state potential energy surfaces of C₂H₄I₂ along the *r*(C–I) bond-stretching coordinate. The seventh excited state (¹B_u) is plotted thicker (blue) to highlight the dissociation PES of the C₂H₄I₂ molecule excited with 267 nm. The C_{2h} symmetry of the ground state structure breaks as the C–I distance becomes longer; the values in parentheses show the C_s group term symbols.

the ¹B_u energy curve to form a hot C₂H₄I₂* molecule with a greater C•••I distance. The subsequent transformations are the dissociation reaction through C₂H₄I₂* → C₂H₄I• + I• and the fast recombination C₂H₄I₂* → C₂H₄I₂ due to the surrounding solvent molecules.

The stretching coordinate at *r*(C–I) = 3 Å is the point where the restricted DFT singlet ground state breaks down to the unrestricted one, and the TD-DFT single-point energy calculation starts to produce negative excitation energies. For this reason, the excited PESs at *r*(C–I) > 3 Å are not shown.

4. Conclusion

The photodissociation reaction process of C₂H₄I₂ in the gas phase and in solution has been investigated using ab initio and DFT methods. The bridged conformer of the C₂H₄I• radical, which lies about 3 kcal/mol lower in energy than the anti form, is dominant both in the gas phase and in the solution phase. The excited C₂H₄I₂* dissociates in a two-step process: in the gas phase, initial C–I bond rupture is followed by secondary cleavage of the C–I bond in the haloethyl radical C₂H₄I•, whereas, in solution, the abstraction is a more important process than the secondary dissociation and becomes a major pathway. The C₂H₄I–I isomer, which is a covalently bonded molecule with a lower energy than C₂H₄ + I₂, is formed through the interaction of C₂H₄I• with I•. The ground state structure of 1,2-diiodoethane in methanol was experimentally determined by static X-ray scattering and compared with the theoretical values. The measured structure is in good agreement with the one predicted by theory.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Dr. Jim Hess for help in some of the calculations and Veijo Honkimaki and Thomas Buslap for assistance with the high-resolution experiment on beamline ID15. This work was supported by the EU grants FAMTO (HPRI-CT-1999-50004) and FLASH (FP6-503641) and by a grant from the Nano R&D Program of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (2005-02638) awarded to H.I.

Supporting Information Available: Tables showing the total energies after zero-point energy (ZPE) correction and relative energies of the different stationary points of C₂H₄I₂ in the rotational PES in the gas phase, in methanol, and in cyclohexane calculated at the B3LYP level; optimized structural parameters and the XYZ coordinates of the species in Figure 4 at the B3LYP level; calculated vibrational frequencies and IR intensities of the different species on the rotational PES of 1,2-diiodoethane in the gas phase and in methanol at the B3LYP level, and in comparison with experimental data; optimized structural parameters and XYZ coordinates of the species in Figure 6 at the B3LYP level; calculated vibrational frequencies and IR intensities of the different species shown in Figure 6 in the gas phase and in methanol at the B3LYP level; calculated absorptions and oscillator strengths of C₂H₄I•_bridged and the C₂H₄I–I isomer at different excited states in the gas phase and in solution at the TD-B3LYP level; and calculated Natural Population Analysis (NPA) charges for the C₂H₄I–I isomer and figures showing HOMO, LUMO, and valence molecular orbital depictions of the C₂H₄I–I isomer; the optimized PES along the I–I distance of the C₂H₄I–I isomer; and the optimized PES along the C–I distance of the C₂H₄I–I isomer. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

References and Notes

- (1) Kochi, J. K. *Free Radicals*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1973; Vol. II.

- (2) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Ingold, K. U. *Free-Radical Rearrangements*; Mayo, P. d., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1980.
- (3) Goering, H. L.; Abell, P. I.; Aycocck, B. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, *74*, 3588.
- (4) Thaler, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 2607.
- (5) Skell, P. S.; Tuleen, D. L.; Readio, P. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 2849.
- (6) Tanner, D. D.; Darwish, D.; Mosher, M. W.; Bunce, N. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 7398.
- (7) Traynham, J. G.; Lee, Y. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 3590.
- (8) Chambers, R. D., Ed. *Organofluorine Chemistry*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1997.
- (9) Kerr, J. A. *Handbook of Biomolecular and Termolecular Gas Reactions*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1981.
- (10) Patai, S.; Rappoport, Z. *Supplement D2 The Chemistry of Halides, Pseudo-Halides, and Azides*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1995; Part 2.
- (11) Nonebel, D. C.; Tedder, J. M.; Walton, J. C. *Radicals*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K., 1997.
- (12) Knyazev, V. D.; Bencsura, A.; Dubinsky, I. A.; Gutman, D. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 230.
- (13) Pilgrim, J. S.; Taatjes, C. A. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1997**, *101*, 4172.
- (14) Misochko, E. Y.; Benderskii, A. V.; Wight, C. A. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 4496.
- (15) Cramer, C. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 5229.
- (16) Engels, B.; Peyerimhoff, S. D.; Skell, P. S. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1990**, *94*, 1267.
- (17) Bernardi, F.; Bottoni, A.; Canepa, C.; Olivucci, M.; Robb, M. A.; Tonachini, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 2018.
- (18) Kambanis, K. G.; Lazarou, Y. G.; Papagiannakopoulos, P. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1997**, *268*, 498.
- (19) Eliel, E. L.; Allinger, N. L.; Gygaly, S. G.; Morrison, G. A. *Conformational Analysis*; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1966.
- (20) Plech, A.; Wulff, M.; Bratos, S.; Mirloup, F.; Vuilleumier, R.; Schotte, F.; Anfinrud, P. A. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, *92*, 125505.
- (21) Ihee, H.; Lobastov, V. A.; Gomez, U. M.; Goodson, B. M.; Srinivasan, R.; Ruan, C. Y.; Zewail, A. H. *Science* **2001**, *291*, 458.
- (22) Neutze, R.; Wouts, R.; Techert, S.; Davidsson, J.; Kocsis, M.; Kirrander, A.; Schotte, F.; Wulff, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2001**, *87*, 195508.
- (23) (a) Ihee, H.; Kua, J.; Goddard, W. A., III; Zewail, A. H. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2001**, *105*, 3623. (b) Ihee, H.; Zewail, A. H.; Goddard, W. A., III. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1999**, *103*, 6638.
- (24) Dixon, D. A.; Matsuzawa, N.; Walker, S. C. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, *96*, 10740.
- (25) Cheng, Y. P.; Zheng, X. M.; Phillips, D. L. *Asian J. Spectrosc.* **1998**, *2*, 62.
- (26) Ihee, H.; Lorenc, M.; Kim, T. K.; Kong, Q. Y.; Cammarata, M.; Lee, J. H.; Wulff, M. *Science* **2005**, *309*, 1223.
- (27) Warren, B. E. *X-ray Diffraction*; Dover: New York, 1990.
- (28) Nielsen, J. A.; McMorrow, D. *Elements of Modern X-ray Physics*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: West Sussex, England, 2001.
- (29) Soper, A.; Luzar, A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *97*, 1320.
- (30) Plech, A.; Randler, R.; Wulff, M.; Mirloup, F.; Vuilleumier, R. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2003**, *15*, 137.
- (31) (a) Hajdu, F. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1972**, *28*, 250. (b) Pálkinkás, G. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1973**, *29*, 10.
- (32) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, Jr., J. A.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 03, Revision C.02*; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.
- (33) Pople, J. A.; Head-Gordon, M.; Raghavachari, K. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1987**, *87*, 5968.
- (34) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648.
- (35) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785.
- (36) McLean, A. D.; Chandler, G. S. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1980**, *72*, 5639.
- (37) Stromberg, A.; Gropen, O.; Wahlgren, U. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1983**, *4*, 181.
- (38) Glukhovtsev, M. N.; Pross, A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *103*, 1878.
- (39) Foresman, J. B.; Keith, T. A.; Wiberg, K. B.; Snoonian, J.; Frisch, M. J. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 16098.
- (40) Stratmann, R. E.; Scuseria, G. E.; Frisch, M. J. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *109*, 8218.
- (41) Ukaji, T.; Kuchitsu, K. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1966**, *39*, 2153.
- (42) (a) Onsager, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1936**, *58*, 1486. (b) Kirkwood, J. G. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1934**, *2*, 351.
- (43) Khundkar, L. R.; Zewail, A. H. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1990**, *92*, 231.
- (44) Zhong, D.; Ahmad, S.; Zewail, A. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 5978.
- (45) Rasmusson, M.; Tarnovsky, A. N.; Pascher, T.; Sundström, V.; Åkesson, E. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2002**, *106*, 7090.
- (46) Pavel, H.; Rudolf, Z. *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88*, 871.
- (47) Zefirov, Y. V.; Zorkii, P. M. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *58*, 421.
- (48) Huheey, J. E.; Keiter, E. A.; Keiter, R. L. *Inorganic Chemistry: Principles of Structure and Reactivity*, 4th ed.; Harper Collins College Publishers: New York, 1993; p 292.
- (49) Nyburg, S. C.; Faerman, C. H. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B* **1985**, *41*, 274.
- (50) Chuang, T. J.; Hoffman, G. W.; Eissenthal, K. B. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1974**, *25*, 201.
- (51) Castejon, H.; Wiberg, K. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 2139.
- (52) Nathanson, G. M.; Minton, T. K.; Shane, S. F.; Lee, Y. T. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *90*, 6157.
- (53) Minton, T. K.; Felder, P.; Brudzynski, R. J.; Lee, Y. T. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, *81*, 1759.
- (54) Wang, G. J.; Zhang, H.; Zhu, R. S.; Han, K. L.; He, G. Z.; Lou, N. *Q. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *241*, 213.
- (55) Jamorski, C.; Casida, M. E.; Salahub, D. R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *104*, 5134.
- (56) Petersilka, M.; Gossmann, U. J.; Gross, E. K. U. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *76*, 1212.
- (57) Steinfeld, J. I.; Jones, L.; Lesk, M.; Klemperer, W. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1965**, *42*, 25.