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## Supporting Note 1

## Difference between the sequential and parallel models

The kinetics of the PYP photocycle were extensively studied, but a full consensus has not been reached. Especially, regarding the appearance of pR states (red-shifted intermediates of PYP), two different kinetic frameworks were suggested: the formations of pR states occur in (i) a sequential ${ }^{7-8}$ (Figure S1) manner or in a parallel manner ${ }^{9-13}$ (Figure 1). In the recent TA study of PYP, the sequential formation of $\mathrm{pR}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{pR}_{2}$ states was favored, although the parallel scheme cannot be completely ruled out. ${ }^{14}$

To understand the difference between the sequential and parallel models for the formations of $\mathrm{pR}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{pR}_{2}$ states, reaction rate equations for each model are explained here. The equilibria in both models are not considered in this stage to simplify the models. In the case of the sequential model (Figure S 1 ), the reaction rate differential equations in the time region from $\mathrm{pR}_{1}$ existence to $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ appearance can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d\left[p R_{1}\right]}{d t}=-k_{1}\left[p R_{1}\right] \\
& \frac{d\left[p R_{2}\right]}{d t}=k_{1}\left[p R_{1}\right]-k_{2}\left[p R_{2}\right] \\
& \frac{d\left[p B_{1}\right]}{d t}=k_{2}\left[p R_{2}\right]-k_{3}\left[p B_{1}\right]  \tag{S1}\\
& \frac{d\left[p B_{2}\right]}{d t}=k_{3}\left[p B_{1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left[\mathrm{pR}_{1}\right]$, $\left[\mathrm{pR}_{2}\right],\left[\mathrm{pB}_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{pB}_{2}\right]$ are the concentrations of $\mathrm{pR}_{1}, \mathrm{pR}_{2}, \mathrm{pB}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ intermediates, respectively, $\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ are the decay rate constants for $\mathrm{pR}_{1}, \mathrm{pR}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{pB}_{1}$, respectively. By solving the differential equations, the concentrations of the intermediates are expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[p R_{1}\right]=\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0} \exp \left(-k_{1} t\right)} \\
& {\left[p R_{2}\right]=-\frac{k_{1}}{\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0}\left[\exp \left(-k_{1} t\right)-\exp \left(-k_{2} t\right)\right]} \\
& {\left[p B_{1}\right]=k_{1} k_{2}\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0}\left[\frac{\exp \left(-k_{1} t\right)}{\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\left(k_{1}-k_{3}\right)}-\frac{\exp \left(-k_{2} t\right)}{\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\left(k_{2}-k_{3}\right)}+\frac{\exp \left(-k_{3} t\right)}{\left(k_{1}-k_{3}\right)\left(k_{2}-k_{3}\right)}\right]}  \tag{S2}\\
& {\left[p B_{2}\right]=\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0}-k_{1} k_{2} k_{3}\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0}\left[\frac{\exp \left(-k_{1} t\right)}{k_{1}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\left(k_{1}-k_{3}\right)}-\frac{\exp \left(-k_{2} t\right)}{k_{2}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\left(k_{2}-k_{3}\right)}\right.} \\
& \left.\quad \quad+\frac{\exp \left(-k_{3} t\right)}{k_{3}\left(k_{1}-k_{3}\right)\left(k_{2}-k_{3}\right)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left[\mathrm{pR}_{1}\right]_{0}$ is the initial concentration of $\mathrm{pR}_{1}$ intermediates. On the other hand, in the case of the parallel model (Figure 1), the reaction rate differential equations in the time region from $\mathrm{pR}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{pR}_{2}$ existences to $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ appearance can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d\left[p R_{1}\right]}{d t}=-k_{1}\left[p R_{1}\right] \\
& \frac{d\left[p R_{2}\right]}{d t}=-k_{2}\left[p R_{2}\right] \\
& \frac{d\left[p B_{1}\right]}{d t}=k_{1}\left[p R_{1}\right]+k_{2}\left[p R_{2}\right]-k_{3}\left[p B_{1}\right]  \tag{S3}\\
& \frac{d\left[p B_{2}\right]}{d t}=k_{3}\left[p B_{1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

By solving Equation S3, the concentrations of the intermediates are expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[p R_{1}\right]=\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0} \exp \left(-k_{1} t\right)} \\
& \begin{aligned}
{\left[p R_{2}\right]=} & \left(\frac{1}{f}-1\right)\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0} \exp \left(-k_{2} t\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& {\left[p B_{1}\right]=}-\frac{k_{1}}{\left(k_{1}-k_{3}\right)}\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0}\left[\exp \left(-k_{1} t\right)-\exp \left(-k_{3} t\right)\right] \\
& \quad-\frac{k_{2}}{\left(k_{2}-k_{3}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{f}-1\right)\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0}\left[\exp \left(-k_{2} t\right)-\exp \left(-k_{3} t\right)\right] \\
& {\left[p B_{2}\right]=} \frac{1}{f}\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0}-\frac{k_{1} k_{3}}{\left(k_{1}-k_{3}\right)}\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0}\left[\frac{\exp \left(-k_{1} t\right)}{k_{1}}-\frac{\exp \left(-k_{3} t\right)}{k_{3}}\right] \\
& \quad-\frac{k_{2} k_{3}}{\left(k_{2}-k_{3}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{f}-1\right)\left[p R_{1}\right]_{0}\left[\frac{\exp \left(-k_{2} t\right)}{k_{2}}-\frac{\exp \left(-k_{3} t\right)}{k_{3}}\right]
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is the concentration ratio of $\mathrm{pR}_{1}$ to the total concentration of the red-shifted intermediates ( $\left[\mathrm{pR}_{1}\right]_{0}+\left[\mathrm{pR}_{2}\right]_{0}$, where $\left[\mathrm{pR}_{2}\right]_{0}$ is the initial concentration of $\mathrm{pR} \mathrm{R}_{2}$ ). From Beer-Lambert law, the absorbance of a molecule is linearly proportional to the concentration of the molecule, $A=\varepsilon \cdot l \cdot c$, where $A$ is the absorbance, $\varepsilon$ is the extinction coefficient, $l$ is the path length of the container and $c$ is the concentration of the molecule. Consequently, the absorbance difference, $\Delta A$ in TA spectra, is also linearly proportional to the concentration of the corresponding intermediate. The total $\Delta A$ is expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta A_{\text {total }} & =\left(\Delta A_{p R_{1}}+\Delta A_{p R_{2}}+\Delta A_{p B_{1}}+\Delta A_{p B_{2}}\right)  \tag{S5}\\
& =l\left(\delta \varepsilon_{1}\left[p R_{1}\right]+\delta \varepsilon_{2}\left[p R_{2}\right]+\delta \varepsilon_{3}\left[p B_{1}\right]+\delta \varepsilon_{4}\left[p B_{2}\right]\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta A \mathrm{~s}$ are the difference of the absorbance corresponding to intermediates, $\delta \varepsilon_{1}, \delta \varepsilon_{2}, \delta \varepsilon_{3}$ and $\delta \varepsilon_{4}$ are the difference of the extinction coefficients between intermediates and pG state. By substituting each concentration term, Equation S 5 can be used for fitting the experimental data. As shown in Equations S2 and S4, it is obvious that the equations corresponding to both of the sequential and parallel models can be expressed as the simple three-exponential series with the rate constants $\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{3}$. Hence, the rate constants for the two models can be determined by fitting the data with a three-exponential series. Each rate constant technically has the same values in the best fitting results for each model.

Consequently, the experimental data can be fitted by both the sequential and the parallel models, but the pre-exponential factors can be different. In this study, we held open the possibility that both the sequential and parallel models for the $\mathrm{pR}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{pR}_{2}$ formation can be correct in our experimental conditions and only focused on the change of the rate constants depending on the length of the N terminal extension.

## Supporting Note 2

## Theoretical Background of Transient Grating Technique

In a TG experiment, a sample is excited by spatial modulation of light intensity, i.e. transient grating, produced by the interference of two excitation light waves. The refractive index ( $\delta n$ ) and the absorbance $(\delta k)$ changes are induced by the spatially modulated light intensity. The TG signal $\left(I_{T G}\right)$ is proportional to the sum of the square of the $\delta n$ (phase grating) and the square of the $\delta k$ (amplitude grating) as follows ${ }^{15-16}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T G}=\alpha[\delta n(t)]^{2}+\beta[\delta k(t)]^{2} \tag{S6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants determined by the experimental conditions. The refractive index ( $\delta n$ ) change mainly comes from the thermal energy releasing ( $\delta n_{t h}$ : thermal grating) and generated (or depleted) chemical species ( $\delta n_{\text {spe }}$ : species grating). On the other hand, the amplitude grating $\left(\delta k_{\text {spe }}\right)$ arises from chemical species that absorb the probe light. The $\delta n_{t h}$ is caused by the thermal relaxation from the excited states and the enthalpy changes by the reaction. Hence, the TG signal can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T G}=\alpha\left[\delta n_{t h}(t)+\sum \delta n_{\text {spe }}(t)\right]^{2}+\beta\left[\sum \delta k_{\text {spe }}(t)\right]^{2} \tag{S7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When chemical species possess no absorption at the probe wavelength, the amplitude grating term ( $\delta k_{\text {spe }}$ ) caused by a chemical species can be neglected. It is known that the chemical species participating in the photoreaction of PYP do not exhibit absorption at the probe wavelength of 835 nm . Accordingly, we exclude the contribution of the amplitude grating term in the TG signals for NE-PYPs. Therefore, the TG signal can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T G}=\alpha\left[\delta n_{t h}(t)+\sum \delta n_{\text {spe }}(t)\right]^{2} \tag{S8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the excited state decay and the reaction are fast enough to release the thermal energy, the temporal profile of the thermal grating is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta n_{t h}(t)=\delta n_{t h} \exp \left(-D_{t h} q^{2} t\right) \tag{S9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{t h}$ is the thermal diffusivity. A rate constant, $k_{t h}$, equal to $D_{t h} q^{2}$, allows us to readily extract the contribution of the thermal grating. On one hand, the $\delta n_{\text {spe }}$ decreases with time by the rates
of not only the reaction but also the diffusion of the molecular species. Hence, the species gratings decay with the reaction rate constant, $k$ and/or the diffusion rate constant, $D_{\text {spe }} q^{2}$, where $D_{\text {spe }}$ is the diffusion coefficient of the molecular species. The $\delta n_{\text {spe }}$ for the $i$ species is given by the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta n_{\text {spe }}(t)=\delta n_{i} \exp \left(-D_{i} q^{2} t\right) \tag{S10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Supporting Note 3

## Stokes-Einstein Relation

Stokes-Einstein relation for the translational diffusion coefficient of the molecule in solution is expressed in terms of the viscosity of the solution and the size of the molecule. For a spherical particle, the diffusion coefficient of the molecule can be estimated by using the Stokes-Einstein relation, which is expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{k_{B} T}{6 \pi \eta r} \tag{S11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the translational diffusion coefficient of the spherical particle, $k_{B}$ is Boltzmann's constant, $T$ is the absolute temperature, $\eta$ is the viscosity of the solution, and $r$ is the radius of the spherical particle. From Equation S11, the relationship between the diffusion coefficient and the molecular weight of the particle can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \propto \frac{1}{r} \propto \frac{1}{M^{1 / 3}} \tag{S12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the molecular weight of the protein when the particle has a uniform density. Therefore, the ratio of the diffusion coefficients of two different molecules can be expressed by using the ratio of the molecular weights as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D_{1}}{D_{2}}=\frac{M_{2}^{1 / 3}}{M_{1}^{1 / 3}} \tag{S13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{l}$ and $D_{2}$ are the diffusion coefficients of molecules 1 and 2 , respectively and $M_{I}$ and $M_{2}$ are the molecular weights of molecules 1 and 2 , respectively. If the conformation of a specific state is similar between molecules 1 and 2, the diffusion coefficient of the state can be estimated by using Equation S13.

## Supporting Note 4

Additional interaction between the N -terminus and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-sheets in 5D-PYP

To investigate the additional interaction between the N -terminus and $\beta$-sheets in 5D-PYP, we calculated the surface charge distribution for the pG and $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ states (details are described in the Methods.). The distribution for the pG state was calculated using the crystal structure of the pG state (PDB ID: 2PHY), while that for the $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state was calculated using the NMR structure of the $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state (PDB ID: 2KX6). The surface charge distributions of the pG and $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ states exhibit positive charge within the $\beta$-sheets, while showing negative charge in the rest of the structures (Figure S4). Considering that the side chain of the five aspartic acid residues are negatively charged in our experimental condition, the positive charge within the $\beta$-sheets of the pG and $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ states indicates the possibility that the extended N -terminus could potentially form additional electrostatic interactions with the $\beta$-sheets in 5D-PYP.

To further investigate the interaction between the N -terminus and $\beta$-sheets, we performed MD simulations for the following four constructs (details are described in Methods): (i) pG state of wt-PYP, (ii) $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state of wt-PYP, (iii) pG state of 5D-PYP and (iv) $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state of 5D-PYP. Using MD snapshots from the simulation, we calculated root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of protein moiety and the distribution for the center of mass (COM) distance between the N -terminus and the $\beta$-sheets for each construct (Figure S5). For the pG state, the RMSF plot of 5D-PYP shows decreased values compared to those of wt-PYP in the N-terminal region, while wt-PYP and 5D-PYP exhibit similar distribution of the distances between the N -terminus and the $\beta$-sheets. The comparison of these distributions only shows a slight increase of 0.03 nm in the distribution of 5D-PYP compared to that of wt-PYP, relative to the mean value of the distributions (Figures S5a and S5b). These results suggest that the addition of the five Asp residues to PYP in the pG state reduces the structural flexibility of the N-terminus without mediating further interactions between the N -terminus and the $\beta$-sheets. For the $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state, the RMSF plot of 5D-PYP displays decreased values compared to those of wt-PYP in the N-terminal region, similar to the case in the pG state (Figure $\mathrm{S5c}$ ). On the other hand, the distribution of $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ significantly decreased than that of wt-PYP (Figure S5d). This change of the distribution is approximately ten times larger than that in the pG state, with a magnitude of 0.33 nm , relative to the mean value of the distributions (Figures S5b and S5d). These results demonstrate that the addition of five Asp residues to PYP in the $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state reduces the structural flexibility of the N -terminus and mediates further interactions between the N -terminus and the $\beta$-sheets, unlike in the case of pG state.

Together with the surface charge distributions, the results of MD simulations suggest the following mechanistic insight into the interaction between the N -terminus and the $\beta$-sheets in 5D-PYP. In the pG state, the N -terminus blocks the $\beta$-sheets from interacting with other residues as observed in the crystal structure of the state (PDB ID: 2PHY). When 5D-PYP is illuminated and the $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state is formed, the N-terminus of the protein becomes partially unfolded and protruded as observed in the previous
structural studies $(12,18)$, enabling the $\beta$-sheets to form interactions with other residues of the protein. Considering that the side chain of the five aspartic acid residues are negatively charged and the protein in the $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state carries positive charge within the $\beta$-sheets in our experimental conditions, the significant decrease in the mean value, as observed when comparing the distributions of wt-PYP and 5D-PYP in the $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state (Figure S 5 d ), indicates the possibility that the extended N -terminus could potentially form additional electrostatic interactions with the $\beta$-sheets in 5D-PYP. Such interactions in 5D-PYP are expected to interfere with the transition from $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ to pG , potentially delaying the dark recovery of the protein as observed in our TG experiments.

Table S1. The calculated contents of the secondary structure element of wt-PYP, 5aa-PYP, and 17aa-PYP. The CDSSTR method in the DichroWeb program ${ }^{17}$ was used to perform the calculations, with reference data set 4 included in the program. As the length of the N -terminal expansion increases, a slight variation can be observed in the content of the secondary structure, which can be attributed to the expansion of the N-terminus. Nevertheless, the overall secondary structural content appears to remain unchanged.

|  | Helix1 | Helix2 | Strand1 | Strand2 | Turns | Unordered | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wt-PYP | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 1 |
| 5aa-pyp | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 17aa-PYP | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 1 |



Figure S1. Schematic illustration of the photocycle of wt-PYP. Unlike the parallel model shown in Figure 1, the formation of $\mathrm{pR}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{pR}_{2}$ is described as the sequential model.


Figure S2. Overlaid ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ - HSQC spectra of wt-PYP (orange), 5aa-PYP (green), and 17aa-PYP (blue). (a) Spectra in the overall range. The red star symbols indicate additional peaks found in the spectra of 5aa- and 17aa-PYPs that are not observed in that of wt-PYP. (b-g) Enlarged spectra of the selective residues (A5, F6, R52-A84-L88-D97-N13, T14, G47, and K78), respectively.


Figure S3. SVD analysis for TA spectra of wt-PYP, 5aa-PYP, and 17aa-PYP. (a) Singular values, (b) 1 st left singular vectors (LSV), (c) 2nd LSV, (d) 1st right singular vectors (RSV) and (e) 2nd RSV. In each panel, black, red and blue correspond to wt-PYP, 5aa-PYP and 17aa-PYP, respectively.


Figure S4. The surface charge distribution of PYP computed by the pdb2pqr and APBS programs. (a) The computed surface charge distribution for the pG state of PYP. The distribution, visualized in a transparent manner, is superimposed onto the crystal structure of the pG state (PDB ID: 2PHY). (b) The computed surface charge distribution for the $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state of PYP. The surface charge distribution, visualized in a transparent manner, is superimposed onto the NMR structure of the $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state (PDB ID: 2KX6). In (a) and (b), the N-terminal regions of crystal and NMR structures are highlighted in magenta. The surface colors are assigned red (-) or blue (+), indicating the desired values of $\pm 5 \mathrm{kT} / e$. Here, $k$ represents Boltzmann's constant, $T$ is the temperature, and $e$ is equal to $1.602176634 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$. During the calculations, the pdb2pqr program determines the protonation state of amino acids at pH 7.0 , while APBS calculates the electrostatics.


Figure S5. The results from MD simulations for the PYP constructs. (a) RMSF plot of PYP in pG state (black) and 5D-PYP in pG state (red). (b) Distributions of the distance between N -terminus and $\beta$-sheets of PYP in pG state (black) and 5D-PYP in pG state (red). The mean values of the distributions for wtPYP and 5D-PYP are 1.43 nm and 1.46 nm , respectively. (c) RMSF plot of PYP in $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state (black) and 5D-PYP in $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state (red). (d) Distributions of the distance between N -terminus and $\beta$-sheets of PYP in $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state (black) and 5D-PYP in $\mathrm{pB}_{2}$ state (red). The mean values of the distributions for wtPYP and 5D-PYP are 1.88 nm and 1.55 nm , respectively. In each RMSF plot, the region of N-terminus is indicated by a blue rectangle.
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